# **EXHIBIT J**



TEL: 858.935.9960 • FAX: 858.939.1939
TOLL FREE: 888.933.5770
10200 WILLOW CREEK ROAD, SUITE 150
SAN DIEGO. CALIFORNIA 92131

Doyle Lowther LLP represents consumers and investors across the United States in class action and other complex litigations. The firm's lawyers have decades of complex litigation experience and have represented consumers and investors in securities fraud class actions, derivative and merger litigation, antitrust litigation, product defect and false advertising class actions, consumer fraud class actions, and mass tort litigation. The firm's partners have worked on some of the largest, high profile frauds in the United States, including the *Enron* and *Dynegy* securities litigations. The work of Doyle Lowther lawyers has helped to recover billions of dollars on behalf of injured consumers and investors.

Our lawyers aggressively prosecute corporate wrongdoing and other unlawful activity. We efficiently and effectively prosecute complex cases from inception and investigation through trial. Doyle Lowther believes in being readily available to clients, and responding quickly to our clients' needs and concerns. Our clients are kept informed about their legal matters, from beginning to end.

## **Complex Litigation**

Doyle Lowther attorneys have substantial complex litigation track records. Our attorneys are skilled in representing clients in complex disputes that involve multiple parties, sophisticated subject matters, extensive discovery and complicated testimony. We have extensive experience litigating complex cases in federal and state courts throughout the country and are familiar with the procedural complexities associated with class certification, multi-district litigation, and representing clients where parallel litigation is underway in state and federal court.

Doyle Lowther has experience in a wide range of complex litigation such as derivative litigation on behalf of nominal defendant corporations, security

class actions on behalf of aggrieved security purchasers and sellers, antitrust and investment fraud, mergers and acquisitions, breaches of fiduciary duty by corporate officers and directors, products liability and consumer protection litigation, and mass torts.

Doyle Lowther uses technology to streamline our complex cases. The firm uses proven technologies and processes in the areas of electronic document coding and imaging, database design, computerized presentations, investigative databases, and real-time data and analytics.

## Representative Consumer, Antitrust, Security, and Investor Litigation

#### Honda Civic Hybrid MPG Litigation

Doyle Lowther and its co-counsel represented California consumers in a false advertising and product defect class action regarding Honda Civic hybrid vehicles. Doyle Lowther alleged Honda misrepresented the fuel economy estimates of its model year 2003 through 2009 Honda Civic Hybrid vehicles and charged the vehicles' IMA battery underperformed. The litigation concluded successfully with class members receiving compensation for the alleged misrepresentation in fuel economy estimates and extended warranty protection on the expensive hybrid battery. Approximately 60,000 class members claimed benefits in a settlement conservatively valued at \$87.5 million.

## NVIDIA GPU Products Liability Litigation

Doyle Lowther and its co-counsel represented purchasers of Dell, Hewlett-Packard, Compaq and Apple computers containing defective graphics processing chips manufactured by NVIDIA. For years, notebook and desktop computers sold to consumers contained defective NVIDIA chips, resulting in video and graphics problems, distorted video, peripheral component malfunctions, overheating, and other problems. Doyle Lowther filed a class action complaint alleging NVIDIA and the computer manufacturers failed to remedy the defective components. The firm further alleged defendants used a temporary "fix" that failed to remedy the problem, and instead delayed the defects from manifesting until the affected computers were out of warranty. In late 2010, after years of hard-

fought litigation, Doyle Lowther and co-counsel obtained a settlement for consumers that repaired or replaced their defective computers at no cost. As part of the settlement, consumer could also seek reimbursement for out of pocket repair costs. Ultimately, NVIDIA took an accounting reserve of more than \$500 million to remedy the defect and resolve the litigation.

#### San Diego Wildfire Litigation

Doyle Lowther represents multiple litigants whose homes and business were destroyed during the October 2007 San Diego fires. *In re 2007 Wildfire Individual Litigation – Witch Creek/Guejito Fires*, Case No. 2008-00093080 (San Diego Sup. Ct. 2008). The fires destroyed thousands of homes and forced half a million San Diego residents to flee in the largest mass evacuation in California history. For over a week, the fires scorched hundreds of thousands of acres causing the deaths of two residents and injuring forty-five firefighters.

The 2007 Wildfire litigation is a highly complex mass tort action, with hundreds of parties, including sureties, individuals, and corporations on both sides. This litigation requires complex case expertise to manage the factual, procedural, and resource complexities in advancing the litigation. Doyle Lowther serves on several litigation committees and has taken a leading role, working cooperatively with co-counsel, to advance the litigation. To date Doyle Lowther has recovered millions of dollars on behalf of devastated homeowners.

## Pelvic Mesh Products Liability Litigation

Doyle Lowther attorneys are currently serving as Co-Chairs of the Science and Expert and Law and Briefing Committees in the *Cook Medical Inc. Pelvic Repair System Product Liability Litigation MDL.* The firm also represents scores of women who received defective medical products used in the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence. The defective products, frequently called "pelvic mesh" or "vaginal mesh," are the subject of thousands of lawsuits and an investigation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration investigation into their safety and efficacy. In 2011, after receiving over 3,800 serious adverse event reports, the FDA issued a Public Health Advisory announcing that serious complications associated with these devices were not rare occurrences, as previously

believed. The FDA recommended that all surgeons cease the transvaginal implantation of pelvic mesh and only implant these products abdominally or laparoscopically. The FDA also ordered the manufacturers to conduct studies into the safety and efficacy of these products. The vast majority of pelvic mesh products were introduced into the market via the FDA's controversial 510(k) process, which does not require pre-market testing on the efficacy or safety of a product if the product is "substantially similar" to a product already on the market. After the FDA's announcement several pelvic mesh products were voluntarily removed from the market. Currently, there are over 10,000 lawsuits pending against the largest manufacturers of pelvic mesh. The majority of these lawsuits are pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia and the New Jersey Superior Court for Atlantic County.

#### In re Sony VAIO Computer Notebook Trackpad Litigation

Doyle Lowther has been appointed co-lead counsel in the certified class action litigation against Sony Electronics. Doyle Lowther represents thousands of Class Members who own defective Sony VAIO laptops. Currently pending in the Southern District of California, plaintiffs allege Sony sold its premium VAIO computer line knowing the laptops contain a trackpad defect. The trackpad defect renders consumers' computers inoperable. For example, the Sony VAIO trackpad will move the computer's cursor in a direction opposite to a user's input. The trackpad defect also results in the VAIO randomly opening and closing files and programs on the laptop. And the trackpad defect causes certain Sony VAIO notebooks to freeze or lock up. The VAIO trackpad defect makes it impossible to use Sony's VAIO notebooks as warranted and as advertised.

## Platinum and Palladium Commodities Antitrust Litigation

Doyle Lowther serves as lead counsel for a physical purchaser class in hedge fund platinum and palladium market manipulation litigation and has been actively prosecuting the matter. *In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litig.*, No. 10-civ-3617 (WHP) (S.D.N.Y.). The complaint alleges that certain hedge funds conspired with other entities to manipulate the price of the platinum and palladium futures and physical market by systemically engaging in "market on close" orders (commonly called "banging the close") that artificially drove up the closing price of platinum

and palladium during the 2007 and 2008 time period. The daily NYMEX platinum and palladium closing price is based on the volume-weighted average price of the last two minutes of trades on that date. The complaint alleges the Defendants "banged the close" by making large, uneconomic purchases of platinum and palladium in the seconds prior to close, skewing the volume-weighted average and artificially driving up the closing price. The court was recently asked to preliminarily approve a settlement in excess of \$9 million.

#### LG G2x Class Action Litigation

Doyle Lowther successfully represented consumers who purchased defective smartphone handsets from LG, resulting in a \$7.7 million settlement on behalf of affected consumers in one matter, and continues to prosecute claims in another matter. Plaintiffs alleged a defect with the cell phones caused the phones to repeatedly and randomly freeze, crash, reset or power-off completely, rendering the phones inoperable and unfit for their intended use and purpose. Worse, to restart the phones, users must remove and replace the phones' battery, as often as ten times per day, infuriating consumers. Despite having received thousands of complaints, LG refused to issue a recall or provide any remedy, other than to replace the defective phones with the same model of defective phone. Doyle Lowther defeated defense motions to dismiss and to arbitrate, vigorously pursued discovery in multiple states, and achieved an excellent resolution for consumers.

## Sequenom Shareholder Derivative Litigation

Doyle Lowther served as co-lead counsel, representing nominal defendant Sequenom, Inc. and actively prosecuted breach of fiduciary claims against Sequenom's Board. *Turnock v. Stylli, et al.*, Case No. 37-2009-00089975-CU-BTCTL (San Diego Sup. Ct. May 2009). Doyle Lowther prosecuted claims alleging Sequenom's directors and senior officers breached their fiduciary obligations to the company by making false statements and by concealing material information from consumers, including falsifying test results from clinical medical trials, which Sequenom has since admitted. The case was favorably resolved in 2010, resulting in a settlement which included no payoffs or "golden parachutes" to managers and directors who engaged in wrongdoing and were terminated, saving Sequenom and its

shareholders millions of dollars, a \$14 million cash payment to the company funded by director and officer insurance carrier proceeds, and significant revisions to Sequenom's corporate governance practices, including mandated independent directors, board and committee governance practices, and internal control compliance procedures to avoid a repeat of the wrongful conduct.

#### ev3 Shareholder Merger Litigation

Doyle Lowther served as co-lead counsel in representing ev3 shareholders in Minnesota litigation arising from the ev3 board of directors' attempt to sell the company to an interested party, Covidien, at an alleged unfair price using transaction devices to forcibly divest shareholders of their share ownership. Doyle Lowther spearheaded the litigation, including filing the first complaint, conducting discovery, working with valuation experts, and deposing the company's CEO concerning the transaction. The matter settled favorably in Summer 2010, which included pending actions in both Delaware and Minnesota, resulting in changes to the unfair transaction provisions, disclosure of additional material information to shareholders, and revised statutory appraisal procedures for shareholders who sought to exercise their appraisal rights.

## Citigroup Hedge Fund Litigation

Doyle Lowther sued Citigroup and related entities and individuals for misrepresenting the investment risk associated with its MAT hedge funds and investments. In July 2010 Doyle Lowther successfully negotiated resolution of its client's claims in a confidential settlement. Citigroup promoted its MAT funds as conservative investments, appropriate for highend clients who wanted to place their money in a safe investment. Plaintiff alleged the MAT funds were never the safe, conservative investment Citigroup represented. Instead, Citigroup's MAT funds, it was charged, were predicated on risky investment strategies, and the funds eventually imploded, forcing Citigroup to infuse \$660 million into the MAT funds to keep them afloat, as public attention increased regarding the manner in which the risky hedge funds were promoted to conservative investors. MAT investors lost as much as 90% of the value of their investments.

#### Midland National Life Insurance Litigation

Doyle Lowther serves as co-lead counsel in a breach of contract class action against Midland National Life Insurance Company. Our client purchased and subsequently surrendered a Midland deferred annuity. This action concerns Midland's failure to abide by the express terms of the contract when calculating the amount of monies customers are owed when surrendering their annuity. Midland is charged with failing to apply the correct "interest adjustment" in determining the annuities' "surrender values." On behalf of a proposed plaintiff class, Doyle Lowther seeks damages and restitution in addition to declaratory relief.

### LG Optimus M Smartphone Class Action Litigation

Doyle Lowther represents consumers who purchased defective smartphone handsets from LG. Plaintiffs allege a defect with the expensive cell phones causes the phones to randomly freeze, crash, reset or power-off completely, rendering the phones inoperable and unfit for their intended use and purpose. To date, Doyle Lowther has successfully defeated a motion to arbitrate in state court and prevailed at the California Court of Appeal on LG's appeal.

## Other Complex Class Action Litigation

Before founding Doyle Lowther, two of the firm's partners were principal litigators for seven years in *In re Enron Corp. Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. H-01-3624 (S.D. Tex. 2001), and directly participated in recovering \$7.2 billion on behalf of thousands of individual and institutional investors hurt in the massive Enron securities fraud. The \$7.2 billion recovery is the single largest recovery ever in a securities fraud class action. The firm's partners also litigated *In re Dynegy Inc. Securities Litigation*, File No. H-02-1571 (S.D. Tex.), resulting in a \$474 million recovery for investors.

#### **Members**

### William J. Doyle II

William Doyle is a founding partner of Doyle Lowther LLP. Since 1998 Mr. Doyle has focused his practice on representing individuals, institutional investors and consumers as plaintiffs in complex litigation involving securities fraud, mergers and acquisitions, ERISA, consumer fraud, Internet privacy and defective products. Mr. Doyle successfully represented consumers in recent class action litigations against *Honda* and *Nvidia*. He serves as lead counsel in the *Midland Annuities*, *LG smartphone*, and *Platinum and Palladium Antitrust* litigations. Mr. Doyle also oversees hundreds of cases in the firm's mass tort department, including the *Pelvic Repair System products liability litigation* and the *Bayer IUD* litigation.

Prior to founding Doyle Lowther, Mr. Doyle was a partner in the national class action law firm of Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP. While at Lerach Coughlin and its predecessor firm Milberg Weiss, he represented clients in complex class action litigations and investigated and initiated new cases. Mr. Doyle also played a lead role in developing the firm's first portfolio monitoring program for institutional investors.

In 2003 Mr. Doyle filed the first case against the New York Stock Exchange and its seven specialist trading firms for illegal trading and market manipulation practices. While at his prior firm, Mr. Doyle had the privilege of representing the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) as lead counsel in the *NYSE securities* litigation.

In the *Trans Union Corp*. privacy litigation Mr. Doyle served as co-lead counsel, representing millions of consumers whose private credit information was unlawfully disclosed to third parties. Mr. Doyle successfully certified a statutory damages class action of approximately 9 million Illinois consumers and helped negotiate a nationwide settlement for consumers valued at over \$109 million.

Mr. Doyle has been appointed by federal judges to be lead or co-lead counsel in numerous multidistrict litigation proceedings, including, MDL-1329 *In re RealNetworks, Inc. Privacy Litigation*; MDL-1341, *America Online, Inc. Version 5.0 Software*; MDL-1346, *In re Amazon.com Privacy Litigation*; MDL-1350, *In re Trans Union Corp. Privacy Litigation*; MDL-

1352, In re DoubleClick Inc. Privacy Litigation; MDL-1381 Toys R Us, Inc. Privacy Litigation; and MDL-1400, In re Pharmatrak Privacy Litigation.

Mr. Doyle served as lead or co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in a series of landmark Internet privacy cases. Applying unique and untested legal theories, Mr. Doyle and his co-counsel achieved important new privacy protections for online consumers. For example, in the *In re Pharmatrak Privacy Litigation*, Mr. Doyle took the lead in writing a successful First Circuit appellate brief that overturned summary judgment and applied, for the first time, federal wiretap statutes to Internet technology. In the *Amazon.com* case, a federal court certified the first nationwide class for Internet privacy claims and a settlement in the *DoubleClick* litigation provided important online privacy protections for nearly every user of the Internet in the United States.

Mr. Doyle has also served as co-lead counsel in complex product defect class actions, including a lawsuit against Apple for defects in the DVD player of its iMac personal computer (\$47 million settlement); a class action against SBC Communications for defective DSL Internet service (\$55 million settlement); and a class action against America Online, Inc. for software defects. (\$15.5 million settlement).

A native of San Diego, California, Mr. Doyle graduated from the University of San Diego with an undergraduate degree in economics. After working in the legal field, Mr. Doyle earned his law degree in 1996 from the California Western School of Law on an accelerated, two-year program. Mr. Doyle is admitted to practice in state and federal courts throughout California, the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, and the United States Court of Appeals for the First and Second Circuits. Mr. Doyle is a member of the American Bar Association, the American Association for Justice, The State Bar of California, the San Diego County Bar Association. Mr. Doyle also served on the board of directors for the Association of Business Trial Lawyers and as a director of the San Diego County Bar Foundation.

#### John A. Lowther

John Lowther is a founding partner of Doyle Lowther. Since 2000 Mr. Lowther has participated in large and complex litigations on behalf of

individuals, investors, consumers and financial institutions, helping them to recover billions of dollars in damages due to fraud and malfeasance. Mr. Lowther has been appointed lead counsel and litigated myriad security, derivative, consumer, and class action litigations on behalf of aggrieved consumers and investors, including the *Sequenom* litigation, *Platinum and Palladium* Antitrust litigation, as well as *In re NVIDIA Corp. GPU Products Liability Litigation*, No. 08-04312-JW (N.D. Cal. 2008).

Before founding Doyle Lowther, Mr. Lowther and his prior firm were appointed lead counsel, and Mr. Lowther was a principal litigator, in *In re Enron Corp. Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. H-01-3624 (S.D. Tex. 2001). Mr. Lowther and the litigation team pursued Enron, its Board, and its financial enablers following Enron's implosion in December 2001. He participated directly in the Enron litigation for over seven years, helping to recover \$7.2 billion on behalf of thousands of individual and institutional investors hurt in the massive Enron securities fraud. The \$7.2 billion recovery is the single largest recovery ever in a securities fraud class action.

Mr. Lowther and his prior firm also were appointed lead counsel and litigated *In re Dynegy Inc. Securities Litigation*, File No. H-02-1571 (S.D. Tex. 2003), in which individual investors and institutions pursued Dynegy and its executives for fraud. Dynegy investors suffered massive losses after discovering Dynegy had engaged in questionable transactions and misrepresented its financial results. In April 2005 Mr. Lowther helped secure a \$474 million settlement on behalf of defrauded investors, an extremely favorable result for injured investors and a substantial financial recovery.

Mr. Lowther was appointed lead counsel and represented shareholders against Abercrombie & Fitch in securities litigation arising from insider trading, *Ross v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co.*, No. 2:05-cv-00819 (E.D. Ohio 2007). Just before founding his own firm, Mr. Lowther defeated a motion to dismiss in securities fraud litigation against advertising company ADVO arising from failed merger negotiations, investor misrepresentations, and excessive executive payoffs and compensation, *Kelleher v. ADVO et al.*, No. 3:06-cv-01422 (D. Conn. 2007). Mr. Lowther anticipates the *ADVO* securities litigation will conclude favorably for injured security investors.

Currently, Mr. Lowther is Co-Lead Counsel in derivative litigation against San Diego-based Sequenom, Inc., arising from alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by Sequenom's board of directors. *In re Sequenom, Inc., Derivative* 

Litigation, No. 37-2009-00089683 (Cal. 2009). Mr. Lowther represents a number of San Diego families and homeowners who lost everything in the October 2007 San Diego wildfires allegedly caused by SDG&E and Cox. *In Re 2007 Wildfire Individual Litig.*, No. 2008-00093080 (Cal. 2008). Mr. Lowther also represents tens of thousands of consumers whose notebook and desktop computers have been rendered defective by faulty graphic processing chips. *Inicom Networks, Inc. v. Nvidia Corp. et al.*, No. Co8 04332 (N.D. Cal. 2008).

Born and raised on Long Island, New York, Mr. Lowther graduated from the State University of New York at Stony Brook, where he was an honors college resident. Mr. Lowther received his law degree from University of San Diego School of Law, where he served as Executive Editor of the *San Diego Law Review*. Before founding Doyle Lowther, Mr. Lowther was a judicial intern for the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California, and thereafter was an associate with Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, where he worked on complex securities and derivative litigations on behalf of injured investors. Mr. Lowther is licensed to practice in state and federal courts throughout California.

#### James R. Hail

James Hail is a partner with Doyle Lowther. Since 1999 Mr. Hail has focused his practice on representing defrauded investors in both individual litigations and class actions. Mr. Hail has extensive experience litigating complex cases under federal and state securities laws, and the laws applicable to corporate governance. He continues to represent individual and institutional investors who are victims of investment fraud. Mr. Hail represented consumers in class action litigations against *Honda* and *Nvidia* and families who lost their homes or businesses during the 2007 wildfires in San Diego County. He is currently representing plaintiffs in the Midland annuity case, the *Platinum and Palladium* Antitrust litigation, and in consumer litigation against LG and Samsung.

At his prior firm, Mr. Hail represented individual and institutional investors in some of the nation's most notorious securities frauds. For more than five years, he was a principal litigator in the securities fraud litigation arising from the collapse of Enron Corp. The *In re Enron Corp. Securities Litigation* recovered over \$7 billion for investors. Mr. Hail also represented the lead plaintiff against Dynegy Inc. and its officers and directors. The *In* 

re Dynegy Inc. Securities Litigation recovered over \$470 million for injured investors.

Mr. Hail has represented the lead plaintiff as lead counsel or co-lead counsel in other securities fraud litigations, including a lawsuit against Coca-Cola (\$137.5 million settlement); a class action against Rural/Metro Corp. (\$15 million settlement); and a class action against Secure Computing (\$10 million settlement).

Mr. Hail is representing consumers in product liability litigations against Apple, NVIDIA, and Hewlett-Packard, and families who lost their homes or businesses during the 2007 wildfires in San Diego County. He also represents clients in individual litigations arising from complex business disputes and investment fraud.

Mr. Hail graduated from UCLA with a degree in political science. He received his law degree *cum laude* from Southern Methodist University. During law school, he served as an Articles Editor of *The International Lawyer* law journal. Mr. Hail was admitted to the State Bar of Texas in 1997 and the State Bar of California in 1999. He is also admitted to practice law in the United States District Courts for the Eastern, Northern, and Southern Districts of Texas, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, and Southern Districts of California.

#### **ATTORNEYS**

#### **Chris Cantrell**

Mr. Cantrell is an attorney with Doyle Lowther. Mr. Cantrell joined Doyle Lowther after relocating to California from Alabama, where he practiced for several years. Mr. Cantrell concentrates his practice on defective pharmaceuticals and medical devices, mass actions, and other complex litigation matters.

Mr. Cantrell has represented thousands of individuals harmed by defective pharmaceuticals and medical devices including Vioxx, Phenylproponalamine (PPA), Ephedrine, Oxycontin, Fentanyl Time Released Patches, Baycol, Medtronic ICD Batteries, Medtronic Sprint Fidelis Leads, Lipitor, Fen-Phen, Kugel Hernia Mesh Patches, and Prempro.

Mr. Cantrell also worked on one of the largest class actions in United States history, *In re: Managed Care Litigation*, (MDL 1334 S.D. Fla.). In this immense class action, Mr. Cantrell's former firm represented hundreds of thousands of primary care physicians in a RICO class action against the nation's largest health insurance providers who were systematically defrauding doctors of payments owed.

At his prior firm, Mr. Cantrell worked on several antitrust matters including the representation of thousands of independent pharmacists in an antitrust action against the nation's largest pharmacy benefit managers. *In re Pharmacy Benefit Managers Antitrust Litigation* alleges the four largest pharmacy benefit managers conspired to artificially suppress the reimbursement payments to independent pharmacists nationwide.

Litigating these matters has allowed Mr. Cantrell to practice in state and federal courts across the nation including Florida, Georgia, New York, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, Oklahoma, Louisiana and the District of Columbia.

Mr. Cantrell currently represents hundreds of individuals injured by medical device manufacturers. These cases, to be litigated in the Southern District of West Virginia and other federal courts, involve implantation of defective products suffering from various medical ailments, including pelvic organ prolapse and incontinence.

Mr. Cantrell graduated from the University of Alabama at Birmingham in 1999 with Bachelors degrees in criminal justice and chemistry. Mr. Cantrell is a 2003 graduate of the University of Alabama School of Law where he was a Campbell Moot Court Board member. Mr. Cantrell is a member of the State Bar of California and the State Bar of Alabama. Mr. Cantrell is admitted to practice law in the United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Eastern districts of California, the United States District Courts for the Northern, Southern and Middle districts of Alabama, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth and Eleventh Circuits.